Performance analysis of Double Condensing Chamber Solar Still and Conventional Solar Still

Suvendu Prasad Sahu¹, Debasish Das², Himansu Ranjan Pradhan³ ¹Professor, ²Assistant Professor, ³Student Department of Mechanical Engineering Einstein Academy of Technology and Management Bhubaneswar, Khurdha, Odisha, India

ABSTRACT

Solar stills are needed to convert saline water into fresh water. Different models and designs of solar stills are used to achieve the desired objective. In this work, a solar still with separate condensing chamber is to enhance the rate of condensation and hence the productivity. The performances of condensing chamber in terms of energy and exergy efficiencies are compared with the conventional still. It was observed that the exergy efficiency of still with separate condensing chamber is far better (60.8% more) than the conventional solar still.

Keywords: Energy, Solar still, separate condensing chamber solar still, Exergy, efficiency.

1. INTRODUCTION

About 97% water available on the earth is salty. 2% of water available on the earth is in the form of glaciers and polarize. 1% of water if fresh water and can be made in to use. Because of the population growth and industrial activities, the different sources of portable water including rivers, lakes, and underground aquifers have been contaminated [1, 2]. Hence, in this case solar still have been developed which can be used to convert saline water to freshwater. Solar still is a very simple and easy to construct and low cost device [3]. They work on the principle of evaporation and condensation. Solar energy is abundantly available on earth as it is a renewable source of energy and can be used for water purification.

Different designs of solar still have been constructed by different researchers and performance has been compared. Many researchers have studied the performance evaluation of solar stills by using energy or exergy analysis. Energy and Exergy analysis has also been done to see the efficiency of the still. Torchia et al [4] have carried out the exergy analysis of a single basin passive solar still their result shows the collector component has the higher irreversibility rates of solar still and also, this rate increases with the increase of solar radiation intensity due to the increase of temperature of various component of solar still. Zooriet al [5] has investigated the effect of mass flow rate on energy and exergy of a weir type cascade solar still the results obtained showed that the maximum energy and exergy efficiencies are obtained at the minimum inlet brine flow. Raghvendra Singh et al [6]. In this research paper, a comparative energy and exergy analysis of various conventional solar distillation systems has been presented. The study includes passive solar distillation systems such as single and double slope solar stills. It has been found that the energy, exergy and embodied energy of single slope solar still are found higher than that of double slope solar still. K.R. Ranjan et al [7]. In this communication, a comprehensive thermodynamic model for exergy analysis of a passive solar distillation system is presented. Energy and exergy analysis of a single-effect, single-slope horizontal passive solar still has been carried out under climatic conditions of India. Ankur Kumar Singh et al [9] performed energetic and exergetic analysis

Page | 136

Juni Khyat (UGC Care Group I Listed Journal)

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-12 Issue-01 No.01: 2022

of a double-slope solar still. It was observed that the daily energy efficiency of the system was 29%. The exergy efficiency of the system with north cover was higher than that of the system exergy efficiency with south side glass cover. Narayan pandey et al [12] in this research paper performance study of solar still with separate condenser was done and the energy efficiency was 19% more than the conventional solar still. Ajeet Kumar Rai et al [13] in this an experimental investigation of a double slope solar still with a latent heat storage medium using Zinc Nitrate Hexahydrate was conducted. It was observed that increment of 33.5% in the collection of distillate when still isused with Zinc Nitrate Hexahydrate.

2. EXPERIMENTALSETUP

In the present study a conventional single basin solar still has been designed of galvanized iron having basin area as $1m^2$. A separate compartment is attached to the back side of the still working as the separate condenser and is also made up of galvanized iron. Small ports are made on the inner side to enhance the condensation rate. Glass covers 4mm thick isused as the top cover of the still at an angle of 26° to the horizontal. The inner side of the galvanized basin is painted black so that there can be maximum absorption of solar radiation. The water level was checked throughout. A duct was attached at the lower side of the still used to collect the condensate. This distillate is then measured with the help of a graduated measuring cylinder. Thermo couples were attached at different location so as to measure the different temperatures i.e. glass temp., water temp. Solar radiation incident on the glass cover is measured with the help of solar meter.



Figure1 Experimental setup of still with separate condenser.

3. ENERGYAND EXERGY EFFICIENCY OF SOLAR STILL

The daily η i.e. η_d was obtained by summing up the hourly condense at production, multiplied by latent heat of evaporation (h_{fg}) and divided by average solar radiation I_g over the whole area.

$$\eta_d = \frac{\Sigma m h_{fg}}{\Sigma A I_g} \tag{1}$$

The exergy efficiency is defined as the ratio of exergy output of solar still to the exergy input to the solar still [8,11]

$$\eta_{EX} = \frac{Ex_{evap}}{Ex_{input}} \tag{2}$$

Page | 137

Copyright @ 2022 Author

Juni Khyat (UGC Care Group I Listed Journal)

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-12 Issue-01 No.01: 2022

The exergy of solar radiation, as the exergy input Ex_{input} to the solar still can be calculated using available solar energy flux and is expressed through equation which is widely

4. RESULTANDDISSCUSSION



Figure2 Variation of solar intensity with time

Fig: 2 Shows the variation of solar intensity with respect to the time it can be seen that the solar intensity is maximum i.e. 1200 W/m^2 at around 11:30 am to 1:30 pm.



Figure 3 Variation of water temperature with and without separate condenser

Fig 3 shows the variation of water temperature with respect to time for the still without and with separate condenser. It can be seen that the water temperature is maximum at around12:30 pm.





Fig 4 shows the variation of glass temperature with respect to time for the still without and with separate condenser. It can be seen that the water temperature is maximum at around12:30 pm.



 $Figure 5 Variation of productivity with time with and without \ condenser$

Fig 5 shows the variation of productivity with respect to the time for the still without and with separate condenser. It can be seen that the productivity of still with separate condenser is much higher than the still without condenser. The productivity gradually increases and reaches maximum value then decreases.



Figure6 Variation of Energy efficiency with time and without condenser

Fig 6 shows the variation of Energy with respect to time for the still without and with separate condenser. It can be seen that the energy efficiency of still with separate condenser is much higher than the conventional solar still.



Figure7 Variation of Exergy efficiency with time with and without condenser

Fig 7 shows the variation of Exergy with respect to time for the still without and with separate condenser. It can be seen that the exergy efficiency of still with separate condenser is 60.48% more than the conventional solar still.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work an experimental study is carried out to compare the performance of a double condensing chamber solar still with respect to that of a conventional solar still. Performance is compared on the basis of three different parameters namely daily distillate output, energy efficiency and exergy efficiency. It is observed that the productivity of still with separate condenser is 36.9% higher than the conventional still. Still with separate condenser is 37% more efficient than the conventional solar still. The daily exergy efficiency of the still with separate condenser is 60.8% higher than the conventional solar still.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kumar S., Tiwari A. An experimental study of hybrid photovoltaic thermal (PV/T) active solar still. Int. J Energy Res 2008; 32:847-858.
- [2] Kaushal Varun A Solar stills: a review. Renew sustain Energy Rev; 14; 446-453.
- [3] Bahadur B., Dubey Richa, Rai A.K. Performance study of a solar still with salt hydrate as energy storage medium. *International journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology* (*IJMET*)2017, Volume 8, Issue 2,pp. 289–294.
- [4] Torchia-Nùnez JC, Porta-Gàndara MA, Cervantes-de Gortari JG. Exergy analysis of spassive solar still. Renew Energy2008; 33:608-616.
- [5] Zoori H Aghaei, Tabrizi F Farshchi, Sarhaddi F, Hashmatnezhad F. Comparision between energy and exergy efficiencies in a weir type cascade solar still. Desalination 2013; 325:113-121.
- [6] Ranjan K.R., Kaushik S.C. and Panwar N.L. Energy and exergy analysis of passive solar distillation systems International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies 2013,11,211–221.
- [7] Singh RaghVendra, Dev Rahul, Hasan M. M., Tiwari G. N. Comparative Energy andExergy Analysis of Various Passive Solar Distillation Systems. World renewable energycongress2011-Sweden SolarThermalapplications(STH)2011 pp.3929-3926.
- [8] Kianifar Ali, HerisSaeedZeinali, MahianOmidExergy and economic analysis of apyramidshaped solar water purification system: Active and passive cases. Energy (2012)38 pp. 31-36.

Juni Khyat (UGC Care Group I Listed Journal)

- [9] Singh Ankur K., Dr. Rai A. K., SachanVivek Energy and Exergy analysis of a DoubleSlopeSolarStill*InternationalJournalofMechanicalEngineeringandTechnology(IJM ET)*, 2014Volume 5, Issue 6, pp. 47-54.
- [10] RabadiaChirag Factors Influencing the Productivity of Solar Still International Journal forResearch in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) (2016) Volume 3IssueXpp. 313-322.
- [11] Hepbalsi A. A key review on exergetic analysis and assessment of renewable energysources for a sustainable future. Renewable and sustainable energy Reviews 2008; 12 (3):593-661.
- [12] Pandey Narayan and Rai A.K. performance study of solar still with separate condenser.*International journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (IJMET)* 2016, Volume7, Issue 4, pp.125-130.
- [13] Rai A.K., Sachan V., and Kumar Maheep Experimental investigation Of a Double slopesolarstillwithlatentheatStoragemedium.*InternationaljournalofMechanicalEngineerin* g and Technology (IJMET) 2013Volume 4, Issue 1,pp. 22-29.
- [14] KhareNeha, Rai A.K. and SachanVivek Experimental study on a stepped basin solar still,*International journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and technology (IJARET)* July2015,Volume 6, issue 7, pp. 25-31.
- [15] ArchanaDubey and Ajeet Kumar Rai. Performance Study of Solar Still Integrated withSolar Pond. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and technology*. 8(6), 2017,pp. 248–254.