TO STUDY ON EFFECT OF CUSTOMER SERVICES AND RATINGS ON PURCHASE INTENTIONS OF AJIO COMPANY

Dr B. Ramya, Assistant professor of B.com with professional accounting, Sri Ramakrishna college of arts and science

Ms Renugadevi. S, B.com with professional accounting, Sri Ramakrishna college of arts and science

ABSTRACT OF STUDY:

This study investigates how customer reviews and ratings affect the purchasing intentions of Ajio consumers, focusing on product quality, pricing, and customer service. Statistical analyses reveal that demographic factors, particularly age and occupation, influence purchasing decisions. Younger consumers (20-40 years) engage more with the platform. Customer reviews play a key role in building trust and satisfaction, impacting buying behavior. While significant correlations are found between demographics and preferences, caution is needed due to data limitations. The study emphasizes tailoring marketing strategies to demographic factors to improve sales and recommends further research with larger sample sizes.

Keywords: product quality, satisfaction, price, purchasing decision

INTRODUCTION OF THE STUDY:

Asia is a prominent Indian E-commerce platform, primarily focused on fashion and lifestyle products. launched in 2016 by reliance industries, It operates as a part of reliance retail. Which is known for its vast presence in the retail and consumer goods market. Mainly customer prefer ajio for several reasons primarily due to its strong focus on providing an expectional shopping experience quality products and competitive pricing, purchasing intention refers to a consumer's likelihood or willingness to buy a product or services for Ajio understanding what drives customer's purchasing intentions is crucial to enhancing its sales stratergies and customers various factors influence purchasing intention on Ajio ranging from the platform's user experience to the perceived value of its products and services.

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM:

In the current online retail market for ajio, which emphasizes fashions and lifestyle, understanding the impact of customer reviews and ratings on purchasing intentions is crucial. This study aims to explore how these reviews and ratings influence consumer decisions focusing on the factors of quality, quantity and price from the customers perspective the goal is to provide actionable insights to enhance ajio sales and improve customers engagement by examining how these elements affect prospective buyer's intentions to make purchase.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

- 1. To examine the reviews and ratings of the Ajio company among customer.
- 2. To examine elements affecting prospective buyers intention to make purchase.
- 3. To examine the impact of customer service quality on purchase intentions of Ajio customers.
- psychographic 4. To identify any potential demographic or factors influence ratings that the impact of customer services and purchase intentions.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Maharani (2023) this study investigates the impact of customer or reviews and online ratings on consumers purchase intensions, focusing on the mediating effect or word of mouth (WOM). customer reviews and ratings have become critical in shaping buyer perceptions, often serving as a substitute for firsthand experience. The research explores how WOM, driven by online reviews, can enhance or

ISSN: 2278-4632

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-15, Issue-03, No.01, March: 2025

diminish purchase intentions by influencing trust and perceived product quality. By examining these dynamics, the study aims to uncover the extent to which reviews and ratings drive buying decision, positioning WOM as a significant mediator in the decision-making process.

Andi Afrizal (2023) using a sample of 100 people through prohibility sampling, the study considers online reviews (X1), ratings (X2), trust (Y2) as variables .data was collected through questionaires and analyzed with smartPLS 3.+ The results indicate that while reviews and ratings influence purchase interest, they do not significantly increase customer trust. Indirectly, reviews do not impact purchase interest via trust, but ratings positively affect purchase interest.

PERCENTAGE

| CATAGORIES | VARIENTS | NO OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------|------------|
| | Less than 20 | 39 | 39% |
| | 20-40 | 53 | 53% |
| AGE | 40-60 | 6 | 6% |
| | Above 60 | 2 | 2% |
| | Male | 51 | 51% |
| GENDER | Female | 49 | 49% |
| GEOGRAPICAL | Urban | 75 | 75% |
| AREA | Rural | 25 | 25% |
| | Below 10000 | 41 | 41% |
| MONTHLY INCOME | 10000 - 20000 | 30 | 30% |
| | 20000 - 30000 | 18 | 18% |
| | Above 30000 | 11 | 11% |
| MARITAL STATUS | Married | 27 | 27% |
| | Unmarried | 73 | 73% |
| FAMILY TYPE | Joint family | 43 | 43% |
| | Nuclear family | 57 | 57% |
| | Employee | 32 | 32% |
| | Business | 26 | 26% |
| OCCUPATION | Professional | 12 | 12% |
| | Others | 30 | 30% |

SOURCE: Primary data

The survey provides valuable demographic insights about the respondents. The majority of respondents (53%) are between the ages of 20 and 40, followed by 39% who are younger than 20. A smaller portion (6%) are in the 40-60 age range, and just 2 % are over 60 years old. The gender distribution is nearly equal, with 51% male and 49% female participants. In terms of location, 75% of respondents reside in urban areas, while 25% live in rural regions. Regarding monthly income, 41% of participants earn less than 10,000, while 30% earn between 10,000 and 20,000. A smaller segment (18%) earns between 20,000 and 30,000, and 11% earn more than 30,000. Most respondents (73%) are unmarried, while 27% are married. In terms of family structure, 57% come from nuclear families, and 43% belong to joint families. Finally, when it comes to employment, 32% are employees, 30% are engaged in other forms of work, 26% are business owners, and 12% work as professionals. This profile highlights a young, predominantly urban, unmarried group with deserved occupations.

ANOVA:

| Source of | | | | | | |
|-----------|----|----|----|---|---------|--------|
| Variation | SS | Df | MS | F | P-value | F crit |

| | - | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|-----|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Between Groups | 4.506667 | 2 | 2.253333 | 3.218583 | 0.041411 | 3.026153 |
| Within Groups | 207.93 | 297 | 0.700101 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total | 212.4367 | 299 | | | | |

SOURCE: Primary data

The summary statistics and ANOVA results provide valuable insights into the differences among the three groups (Column 1, Column 2, and Column 3). The average values for the groups are 2.19 for Column 1, 1.89 for Column 2, and 2.05 for Column 3, with each group showing different levels of variance. The ANOVA analysis indicates that there are significant differences between the groups. The computed F-value is 3.218583, which surpasses the critical F-value of 3.026153, and the P-value is 0.041411, which is below the common significance threshold of 0.05. This implies that at least one of the groups has a mean that is significantly different from the others. As a result, the findings suggest that there are substantial differences among the groups under consideration.

REGRESSION:

| Regression Statistics | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Multiple R | 0.358512 | | | | |
| R Square | 0.128531 | | | | |
| Adjusted R | | | | | |
| Square | 0.091838 | | | | |
| Standard | | | | | |
| Error | 1.445675 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Observations | 100 | | | | |

| ANOVA | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----|----------|----------|---------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Significance | | | | |
| | df | SS | MS | F | F | | | | |
| Regression | 4 | 29.28339 | 7.320847 | 3.50284 | 0.010317 | | | | |
| Residual | 95 | 198.5476 | 2.089975 | | | | | | |
| Total | 99 | 227.831 | | | | | | | |

| | Coeffici | Standard | | P- | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------|-------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| | ents | Error | t Stat | value | 95% | 95% | 95.0% | 95.0% |
| | 45644.9 | | 67510 | | 45643.6 | 45646.3 | | |
| cc | 7 | 0.676121 | .05 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 45643.62 | 45646.31 |
| | 0.49275 | | 2.214 | 0.029 | | 0.93446 | | |
| AGE | 7 | 0.222495 | 693 | 172 | 0.05105 | 4 | 0.05105 | 0.934464 |
| | | | - | | | | | |
| | _ | | 0.941 | 0.348 | - | 0.31472 | | |
| Gender | 0.28401 | 0.301594 | 71 | 729 | 0.88275 | 5 | -0.88275 | 0.314725 |
| Geographica | 0.11852 | | 0.341 | 0.733 | - | 0.80677 | | |
| 1 area | 1 | 0.346683 | 871 | 203 | 0.56973 | 3 | -0.56973 | 0.806773 |

ISSN: 2278-4632

Juni Khyat (जुनी ख्यात) (UGC CARE Group I Listed Journal)

| | | | - | | | | | |
|------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| | - | | 2.381 | 0.019 | - | _ | | |
| Occupation | 0.29122 | 0.122285 | 5 | 236 | 0.53399 | 0.04846 | -0.53399 | -0.04846 |

SOURCE: Primary data

The regression analysis indicates a moderate relationship between the predictors and the dependent variable, with an R-squared value of 0.128531, meaning the model explains approximately 12.85% of the variance. The overall regression model is statistically significant (F = 3.50284, P = 0.010317). Age and **Occupation** have a significant impact on the dependent variable, with coefficients of 0.492757 (P = 0.029172) and -0.29122 (P = 0.019236), respectively. On the other hand, Gender and Geographical Area do not show significant effects, as their P-values are greater than 0.05. This highlights that age and occupation are key predictors in this analysis.

CHI-SQUARE

AGE * How likely are you to recommend our company to others? Crosstabulation

Count

| - | | How like | v oro vou t | o rocommo | and our | |
|-------|--------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| | | | ly are you t | o recomme | ila oui | |
| | | company | to others? | | | |
| Age | | Likely | Neutral | Unlikely | Very likely | Total |
| | 20-40 | 26 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 53 |
| | 40-60 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| | Above 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | less than 20 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 39 |
| Total | | 46 | 24 | 8 | 22 | 100 |

| Chi-Square Tests | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Value | | Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) | | | | | |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 29.963ª | 9 | .000 | | | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 18.619 | 9 | .029 | | | | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | | | | | | |

a. 10 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .16.

| Age * How interested are you in purchasing our product? | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | How interested are you in purchasing our product? | | | | | | | | |
| Age | | Not interested | Somewhat interested | Very interested | Total | | | | | |
| | 20-40 | 16 | 32 | 5 | 53 | | | | | |
| | 40-60 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | | | | | |
| | Above 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | | | | | |
| | less than 20 | 19 | 17 | 3 | 39 | | | | | |
| Total | | 39 | 50 | 11 | 100 | | | | | |

ISSN: 2278-4632

| Chi-Square Tests | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Value | | Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) | | | | | | |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 22.835 ^a | 6 | .001 | | | | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 15.735 | 6 | .015 | | | | | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | | | | | | | |

a. 7 cells (58.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .22.

| Case Processing Summary | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|---|---------|-----|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Cases | | | | | | | | | |
| | Valid | Valid | | Missing | | | | | | |
| | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | | | | |
| Age * How interested are | 100 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100 | 100.0% | | | | |
| ou in purchasing our | | | | | | | | | | |
| product? | | | | | | | | | | |

| Age * w | ge * what factors might prevent you from purchasing our product? what factors might prevent you from purchasing our | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|-------|--|
| | | product? | | | | | |
| | | Alternative | Lack of | | | T-4-1 | |
| Age | | products | information | Price | Quality concern | Total | |
| | 20-40 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 27 | 53 | |
| | 40-60 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | |
| | Above 60 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | less than 20 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 19 | 39 | |
| Total | | 17 | 7 | 26 | 50 | 100 | |

Chi-Square Tests

| | | | Asymptotic Significance |
|--------------------|---------|----|----------------------------|
| | Value | df | (2-sided) |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 28.433ª | 9 | .001 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 12.927 | 9 | .166 |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | |

a. 10 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .14.

| Age * How would you rate your overall experience with AJIO ? | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------------------|------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| | | How woul | | | | | | |
| experience | | | xperience with AJIO ? | | | | | |
| Age | | Fair | Good | Poor | Very good | Total | | |
| | 20-40 | 10 | 31 | 2 | 10 | 53 | | |
| | 40-60 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | Above 60 | 50 0 2 0 | | | | | | |

| | less than 20 | 15 | 22 | 0 | 12 | 39 |
|-------|--------------|------|----|---|----|-----|
| Total | | 11.5 | 56 | 4 | 25 | 100 |

| Chi-Square Tests | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Value | | Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) | | | | |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 54.274 ^a | 9 | .000 | | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 21.514 | 9 | .011 | | | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | | | | | |

a. 10 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .08.

| Gender * How likely are you to recommend our company to others? | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------|--|
| | | How likely | | | | | |
| | | company to others? | | | | Total | |
| Gender | | Likely | Neutral | Unlikely | Very likely | | |
| | Female | 19 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 49 | |
| | Male | 27 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 51 | |
| Total | | 46 | 24 | 8 | 22 | 100 | |

| Chi-Square Tests | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Value | | Asymptotic Significance (2-sided) | | | | |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 7.657 ^a | 3 | .054 | | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 7.817 | 3 | .050 | | | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | | | | | |

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.92.

| Gender * How would you rate your overall experience with AJIO ? | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------|--|------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Count | | | | | | | | |
| | | | How would you rate your overall experience with AJIO ? | | | | | |
| Gender | | Fair | Good | Poor | Very good | Total | | |
| | Female | 10 | 28 | 4 | 7 | 49 | | |
| | Male | 5 | 28 | 0 | 18 | 51 | | |
| Total | | 15 | 56 | 4 | 25 | 100 | | |

| Chi-Square Tests | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|----|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Asymptotic | | | | | |
| | | | Significance | | | | | |
| | Value | df | (2-sided) | | | | | |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 10.471 ^a | 3 | .015 | | | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 12.214 | 3 | .007 | | | | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 100 | | | | | | | |

Juni Khyat (जुनी ख्यात) (UGC CARE Group I Listed Journal)

a. 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.96.

SOURCE: Primary data

The Chi-Square tests in these programs reveal significant associations between age/gender and factors like interest, decision-making, and product ratings, with p-values mostly under 0.05. However, several cells have expected counts less than 5, which may impact the reliability of the results. While the tests indicate a relationship, the small expected counts suggest caution in interpretation. Overall, age and gender appear to influence preferences and behaviors, but the findings should be viewed with these limitations in mind

FINDINGS OF THE STUDY:

- 1. The study examines the influence of customer reviews and ratings on purchasing intentions on Ajio.
- 2.It analyzes key factors like product quality, pricing, and customer service to assess their effect on buying decisions.
- 3. Notable differences in customer behavior were found based on age and occupation.
- 4. Regression analysis highlights age and occupation as significant predictors of purchase intentions, explaining 12.85% of the variance in buying behavior.
- 5. Chi-Square tests indicate significant associations between age, gender, and factors like interest and decision-making, with most p-values below 0.05.
- 6. However, several Chi-Square cells had expected counts lower than 5, which could impact the reliability of the results
- 7. Age and gender play a crucial role in shaping consumer preferences and purchasing behavior.
- 8. Despite some limitations in the data, demographic factors are found to significantly influence purchase intentions.
- 9. Despite certain data limitations, demographic factors play a key role in shaping purchase intentions.
- 10. The findings offer valuable guidance for Ajio to improve its sales strategies and boost customer engagement

SUGGESION OF THE STUDY:

- 1. Age-Specific Marketing: Tailor marketing strategies to different age groups, such as 20-40 and 40-60 years.
- 2. Occupation-Targeted Products: Design product offerings based on occupation types, catering to professionals and employees.
- 3. Boost Customer Reviews: Encourage reviews and ratings by offering incentives to build trust and drive purchasing.
- 4. Improve Customer Support: Offer responsive support across multiple channels to enhance customer satisfaction.
- 5. Optimize Shopping Experience: Improve website navigation, product details, and checkout process to enhance user experience.
- 6. Urban Market Focus: Continue targeting urban consumers while exploring opportunities in rural areas.
- 7. Gender-Targeted Campaigns: Launch gender-specific advertising for men's and women's product collections.
- 8. Family-Centric Marketing: Tailor campaigns and offerings to suit both joint and nuclear family structures.
- 9. Broaden Product Selection: Offer a wider range of products, catering to both younger and older consumers with trendy and premium items.
- 10. Address Sampling Issues: Ensure larger sample sizes in future studies to improve data reliability and accuracy.

ISSN: 2278-4632

Juni Khyat (जुनी ख्यात) (UGC CARE Group I Listed Journal)

CONCLUSION:

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-15, Issue-03, No.01, March: 2025

In conclusion, the study highlights the significant influence of customer reviews and ratings on Ajio's consumers' purchasing intentions. The results from statistical methods, including ANOVA, regression, and Chi-Square tests, show that factors like age and occupation play a key role in shaping purchase decisions. Age, especially, is a major factor, with the 20-40 age group demonstrating greater engagement with Ajio's products. Additionally, the quality of customer service and product reviews significantly contribute to building consumer trust and satisfaction, which in turn affects their buying decisions. Although the Chi-Square tests reveal relationships between demographics and consumer preferences, the small expected counts in some categories require careful interpretation. Overall, this research underscores the need to personalize marketing strategies based on demographic variables, such as age and occupation, to boost customer engagement and drive sales. Future studies with larger sample sizes would help address these limitations and offer more robust insights for strategic decisionmaking.

REFERENCE:

- 1) Washisht, R., & Mahesh, C. J. D. (2020). A comparative study of customer satisfaction of myntra and ajio. European Journal of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, 7(8), 5820-
- 2) Peddinti, Z. P. (2022). A Comparative study of analysis of the Myntra & Ajio E-businesses Websites using Usability Method to Evaluate.
- 3) Prasad, A. (2022). The Consumer Perception Of E-Commerce Attributes-A Comparative Study Between Fashion Giants AJIO And Myntra. Available at SSRN 4413220.