# WHETHER E-WALLETS ARE REALLY A CATALYST TOWARDS EXPEDITION OF CASHLESS ECONOMY? : AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION IN THE AFTERMATH OF DEMONETIZATION

#### Dr.M.VETRIVEL,

Associate Professor & Research Guide Department of Commerce VISTAS, Chennai-600117

#### Mr. A.K. BABU ISMATH RAZACK

Ph.D Research Scholar Department of Commerce VISTAS, Chennai-600117.

#### Abstract:

Demonetization in India of stripping Rs.500 and Rs.1000 notes as no longer as legal tender is highly affected the common people of the country but, it paves the way for the digital push towards cashless economy and digital banking. The digital banking revolution has made it possible to provide ease and flexibility in banking operations for the benefit of customers. Technological innovations such as mobile money, e-wallets, payment aggregators, etc., have also helped in bringing the people online. Digital or E-wallet refers to an electronic, internet-based payment system which is a store house for financial value as well as personal identity. Such electronic payment systems empower a customer to pay online for the goods and services, including transferring funds to other, by using an incorporated hardware and software system. In this study, an attempt has been made to explore the underlying dominant dimensions of e-wallet usage purposes and its determinants. The result reveals that deliberation and design are dominant dimensions of ewallet usage purposes. The perception of e-wallet users started using e-wallets in the pre and post demonetization period have significant differences with respect to different usage purposes. This research paper found that increased use of technological products in a payment industry gives new outlook to banking industry as well as helps to work in efficient and better way. E-wallets saves more time and are found to be convenient by the customer through their mobile phones at any point of time as a form of digital platform. To conclude, e-wallets are really a catalyst towards expedition of cash to cashless economy especially, in the aftermath of demonetization.

Keywords: Cashless Economy, Deliberation, Demonetization, Design and E-Wallets

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Therecentoccurrenceofdemonetizationistheactofstrippingacurrencyunitofitsstatusasl egaltender. Through demonetization, the existing money in circulation is retired and replaced with new notes or coins. Sometimes, a country completely replaces the old currency with new currency. In India, Honourable Prime Minister Shri. Narendra Modi

#### ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-2 No. 1 February 2020

announced demonetization in the first week of November 2016 retrieving Rs.500 and Rs.100 Onotesnolongeraslegaltender. Due to demonetization of high value currency, common people of India were highly affected which debilitated their way today living to a great extent. This changed tremendously the way banking business is being conducted. Technology plays an important role in banking. In fact, technology has made a lot of innovative initiatives in the realm of banking. Digital banking is a new innovation which has taken the modern banking by storm.

The digital push with technological innovation is all set to transform the banking and financial services sector in India. Structural growth drivers such as, smart phone penetration, increasing awareness about digital payments, preference for hassle-free transactions and secured payment solutions are driving growth for digital payments. The payment banking sector in India is expected to witness multifold growth in the next few years, helped by the new entrants into the banking and payment space. Technological innovations such as, mobile money, e-wallets, payment aggregators, etc., have also helped in bringing people online. Digital or e-wallet refers to an electronic, internet-based payment system which is a store house for financial value as well as personal identity. Such electronic payment systems empower a customer to pay online for the goods and services, including transferring funds to other, by using an incorporated hardware and software system. As per Reserve Bank of India, there are three kinds of e-wallets in India.

They are closed, semi-closed, and open e-wallet. In fact, EMW has come as an alternative to the use of credit cards which are used for making payments. In this study, an attempt has been made to explore the usage perception of e- wallets in the aftermath of demonetization and the determinants of e-wallet and the usage of e-wallets by customers in Chennaicity.

#### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

**Akbari** (2012) found that cultural obstacles and the financial obstacles are plays a vital role in adoption of electronic banking in.

**Iran.Paul (2014)** discussed that mobile wallets are changing the customer experience in payment industry.

Kulkarni (2013) opined that the customer satisfaction is one of the major factors to measure the performance of banks and the performance of private sector banks is better

#### ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-2 No. 1 February 2020

than that of public sector banks and the level of customer satisfaction is also high for private sector banks.

**Chen (2008)** found that there is a moderate awareness on digital wallets which store a virtual copy of the contents of a consumer's physical wallet to facilitate online or offline retail transactions pay pal users.

**Philiplays** (2012) found that the efficiency of a website and responsiveness to complaints have a positive influence on e-loyalty of mobile banking customers.

**Peter Jones (2013)** discussed the emergence of e-wallet and the convenience of using it in the upcoming trends and they are also lacking in customer trust and loyalty.

**Sierra Leone** (2011) explored that the increasing trend and various benefits of using internet banking and highlighted the issues of privacy, security and fraudulent practices with regard to the use of e- banking services.

# **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

- 1. To study the personal profile of the e-wallet users in Chennaicity.
- 2. To identify the underlying dominant dimensions of e-wallet usage purposevariables.
- 3. To explore the influence of personal profiles of the users on total e-wallet usageperception.
- 4. To identify the differences between users perception in before and after demonetization with respect to e- wallet usage purposeaspects.

#### RESEARCHMETHODOLOGY

# The present study is analytical in nature and has adopted survey method for its findings. This Questionnaire Design

A questionnaire was finalised with two sections to collect information from the e-wallet users of Chennai city.

**Section I:** Deals with personal profiles such as gender, marital status, age, nature of family, occupational status, educational qualification, monthly income and period of started using e-wallets.

Section II: Deals with 35 variables on different E-Wallet usage purposes perception.

# LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- 1. This study collected data from respondents residing in Chennai. Hence it lacks generalizability to other cities, states and countries.
- 2. Owing to time and money constraints, the study restricted its sample size to only200.

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-2 No. 1 February 2020

3. This study adoptedConvenience SamplingMethod.So, Limitations associated with Non-Random Sampling is also applicable to thisstudy.

# STATISTICAL TOOLS USED

The data collected were subjected to percentage analysis, factor analysis, t – test and multiple regression analysis using SPSS Version21.0.

#### TABLE: 1

PROFILE				(	Group Freque	s	with				Total
GENDER	Male = 137 [68.5%]				Female = 63 [31.5%]					200 [100%]	
MARITAL STATUS	Married = 47 [23.5%]				Unmarried = 153 [76.5%]						200 [100%]
AGE (Years)	[<18] = [1%]	= 2	[18-25] 139 [69.5%]	=	[26-3 41 [20.5	85] = %]	[36-4 15 [7.5%	45] = %]	: [)	>45] = 3 [1.5%]	200 [100%]
OCCUPATIONAL STATUS	Business = 21 [10.5%]	Gov nt = [3.5	vernme = 7 5%]	Priv = [36	vate = 72 5.0%]	Profess 24 [12.0%]	ional =	Stude [32.5	ent = 65 5%]	Others = 11 [5.5%]	200 [100%]
EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION	SSLC = 5 [2.5%]	HS0 [9.0	HSC = 18 Gr [9.0%] = ' [30		uates 0%]	Post ( = 80	Graduate [40.0%]	Professional = 23 [11.5%]		Others = 2 [1.0% ]	200 [100%]
MONTHLY INCOME (Rupees)	[< 1500 = 73 [36.5%]	<b>00</b> ] 15001-3000 = 70[35.0%		30000 5.0%]	$\begin{array}{c} 30001-\\ 45000\\ - \\ = 22\\ [11.0\%] \end{array}$		45001- 60000 [> = 23 [11.5%] [6.		[> 600 12 [6.0%]	000] =	200 [100%]
NATURE OF FAMILY	Joint Family = 56 [2			56 [28.0	0%]		Nuclear	Famil	y = 144 [7	72.0%]	200 [100%]
STARTED USING E- WALLET	Before Demonetization = 87 [43.5%]			n			Afte Dem = 11	r Ionetiza 3 [56.5	ation %]		200 [100%]

#### PERSONAL PROFILES OF THE RESPONDENTS

Source: primary data

Table 1 reveals that majority of the respondents are male (68.5%), unmarried (76.5%), hailing from nuclear families (72.0%) and aged between 18 and 25 years (69.5%). Sizable

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-2 No. 1 February 2020

portion of the respondents are post graduates (40.0%), private employees (36.0%) and earning less Rs. 15, 000 (36.5%) as monthly income. Majority of the respondents are started using e-wallet after the demonetization of high valued currency in India.

## **TABLE: 2**

# DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS AND PRE-TESTING OF E-WALLET USAGE PERCEPTION VARIABLES

				Cornbach's
Aspects	E-Wallet Usage Perception Variables	Mean	S.D	Alpha
				Reliability
				Co-efficient
	Easy access to transaction history	4.44	0.631	
*	Quick response if there is a problem	3.74	0.947	•
bilit	Provide regular updates	4.12	0.860	0.837
cessi	Provides data recovery system in case of mobile theft or loss	3.85	1.013	
Acc	Provide 24 hours monitoring and assistance	3.81	0.866	
	Can access services 24/7	4.29	0.824	
e	E-wallet saves time	4.40	0.736	
ienc	E-wallet are easy to use	4.33	0.814	0.763
Iven	Ensures access of account when abroad	3.83	0.790	
Cor	Convenient to use while on travel	3.90	0.919	
	Confidential information is delivered safely from banks to	3.95	0.906	
	customers			
	Customers' financial information are protected	3.72	1.113	0.801
	E-wallets keep customers information private and confidential	3.84	0.918	•
Ŷ	E-wallets ensure protection against risk of fraud and financial	3.75	0.950	
ivac	loss			
Pr	Privacy factor influences the adoption of E-wallet services	3.93	0.854	•
	Satisfied with the security system	3.96	0.807	
	E-wallets adhere to the cyber security laws of the land	3.86	0.910	•
	E-wallet application users have freedom from danger, risk and	3.86	0.823	0.799
ty	doubt about security			
curi	E-wallet applications have advanced cyber security	3.84	0.847	
Se	Security factor is prime factor for adoption of e-wallet services	3.90	0.835	
	E-Wallets have attractive screen layout and design	3.98	0.763	

#### ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-2 No. 1 February 2020

	E-wallet service medium has flashy graphics and colour configuration	3.87	0.861	0.822
	Graphical user interface is an important determinant for using e- wallet services	3.83	0.903	
	The design is keeping customers informed in language they can understand and listening to them	3.89	0.771	
	E-wallet app interface is very simple and easy to understand	4.13	0.862	
	Provides clear, simple and understandable guidance	4.07	0.773	
	Information credibility affects the acceptance of E-wallet	3.83	0.811	
	Up-to-date contents influences the adoption of E-wallet usage	3.95	0.816	0.794
nt	Appealing aesthetic content draws potential customers' attention	3.96	0.844	
Conte	E-wallets provide user friendly medium to perform payment transactions easily	4.07	0.793	
	Speed is a driving force for using E-wallet services	4.13	0.841	
	Transition is efficient	4.12	0.799	
	Response speed is satisfactory	4.14	0.857	0.841
peed	Faster than traditional payment channels	4.19	0.773	
S	No waiting time/delay	3.98	0.859	

Source: primary data

The Table 2 indicates that with the lower standard deviation values, the mean values of E-Wallet Usage Perception (UP) variables are the robust measures of them.

#### Factorization of E-Wallet Usage Perception (Up)Aspects

Thirty Five E-Wallet usage purposes variables have been reduced into 7 aspects and the factor analysis has been applied on those Seven (7) Usage Perception aspects to understand the dominant dimensions in them.

#### TABLE: 3

# FACTORIZATION OF E-WALLET USAGE PERCEPTION ASPECTS

Factor Names & % of Variance Explained	E-Wallet Usage Perceptio n Variables	Factor Loadings	Mean	<b>Standard</b> <b>Deviation</b>	Communalities	MSA
--	---	--------------------	------	-------------------------------------	---------------	-----

Copyright © 2020 Authors

## ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-2 No. 1 February 2020

	Privacy	0.855	19.185	3.6	0.7	0.8				
Factor 1				04	49	40				
Deliberati	Security	0.807	19.405	3.3	0.6	0.8				
on Factor				20	95	49				
( <b>DF</b> )	Convenience	0.764	20.740	3.0	0.6	0.8				
[46.003%]				14	12	93				
	Speed	0.653	20.555	3.2	0.5	0.9				
				37	66	07				
	Accessibility	0.652	19.945	3.1	0.6	0.8				
				27	61	67				
	Content	0.613	19.870	2.8	0.5	0.9				
				41	64	10				
Factor 2										
Design	Design	0.944	19.870	2.8	0.9	0.8				
Factor				41	20	77				
(DEF)										
[22.093%										
]										
	KMO – MSA = 0.875 and Total % of Variance									
	<b>Explained = 68.096%</b>									
	Bartlett's Test of Sphe	ericity Chi	Square val	ue of 620.	216					
	with df 21 at P Value o	f <0.001								

The table 3 shows that with the lower standard deviation values, the mean values of e- wallet UP variables are the robust measure of them. The range of communalities of the seven e- wallet usage perception variables is from 0.564 to 0.920 with KMO measure of Sampling Adequacy Value of 0.875 and Chi-Square value of 620.216 at d.f of 21 with P-Value of <0.001 in Barlett's Test of Sphericity, the factor analysis is applicable for factorization of seven e-wallet usage perception variables. Two factors have been extracted and they explain 68.096% of the variance in the seven e-wallet usage perception variables. Thus all the seven variables have been reduced to two independent factors and the most dominant factor is Deliberation Factor (DF) followed by Design Factor (DEF) in their order ofdominance.

# Influence of Personal Profiles on E- Wallet UsagePerception

The Multiple Regression analysis has been applied to study the significance of influence of personal profiles on usage perception on e- wallet and the results are shown in table 4 and 5.

### TABLE: 4

#### ANOVA OF INFLUENCE OF PERSONAL PROFILES ON E- WALLET USAGE

Predict ors		Unstan Coeffic	dardized ients	Standar dized Coeffici ents	t	P - Value	
		В	Std.	Bet			
			Error	a			
1	(Constant)	142.0	1.577		90.0	< 0.0	
		09			45	01	
	Demonetiz	-	2.401	-	-	0.01	
	ation	6.138		0.18	2.55	1	
				0	7		

#### PERCEPTION

The Tables 4 reveal that, Ordinary Least Square (OLS) model has a goodness of fit for multiple regression analysis and the demonetization is significantly influencing the total usage perception on e- wallet in their order of influence. Whereas, personal profiles such as, gender, marital status, age, occupational status, educational qualification, monthly income and nature of family do not have significant influence on total e-wallet usage perception. E-Wallet users started using e-wallets before demonetization have higher usage perception compared to e-wallet users started using e-wallets after demonetization.

#### TABLE: 5

# SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE IN E-WALLET USAGE PERCEPTION OF USERS STARTED USINGE-WALLETS BEFORE AND AFTER DEMONETIZATION

Descripti on	Demonetiz ation	N	Mean	Standar d Deviatio	t – value	Df	Mean Differ0ence	P- Value	Inferenc
Accessibility	BD	87	20.26 5	2.67 6	1.659	198	0.737	0.099	N
	AD	113	19.52 8	3.60 5					3
Convenience	BD	87	21.38 0	2.54 0	3.521	198	1.472	0.001	S
	AD	113	19.90 8	3.37 4					

ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-2 No. 1 February 2020

Privacy	BD	87	19.84	3.04	2 989	198	1 507	0.003	S
Invacy			0	5	2.909	170	1.507	0.005	5
	AD	113	18.33	4.08					
			3	5					
Security	BD	87	19.68	3.08	1 412	198	0.676	0.159	N
Security			7	7	1.712	170	0.070	0.157	S
	AD	113	19.01	3.61					5
			1	7					
Design	BD	87	19.98	3.04	1 582	198	0.683	0.115	N
Design			2	7	1.502	170	0.005	0.115	S
	AD	113	19.29	3.00					5
			8	4					
Contont	BD	87	20.09	2.77	1 202	108	0 523	0.108	N
Content			7	7	1.292	170	0.525	0.170	S
	AD	113	19.57	2.91					5
			4	2					
Speed	BD	87	20.73	3.17	0.803	108	0 / 13	0 373	N
speed			4	0	0.895	190	0.415	0.575	S
	AD	113	20.32	3.32					5
			1	5					
Total	BD	87	142.00	15.5	2 557	102	6 1 3 9	0.011	S
<b>E-Wallet</b>			8	35	2.557	170	0.130	0.011	B
UP	AD	113	135.87	18.1					
			0	04					

Note: BD = Before Demonetization, AD = After Demonetization / S = Significant, NS = Not Significant.

Table 5 indicates that, demonetization have significant difference in total e-wallet users perception. Convenience and privacy aspect perceptions have significant difference with respect to before and after demonetization. E-wallet users before demonetization have higher perception compared to users after demonetization. Other aspects such as, accessibility, security, design, content and speed do not have significant difference with respect to started using e-wallets before and after demonetization.

# **MAJOR FINDINGS OF THESTUDY**

- Majority of the respondents are male, unmarried, hailing from nuclear families and aged between 18 and25 years. Sizable portion of the respondents are post graduates, private employees and earning less Rs. 15,000 as monthly income.
- 2. Majority of the respondents are started using e-wallet after the demonetization of high valued currency in India.

#### ISSN: 2278-4632 Vol-10 Issue-2 No. 1 February 2020

- 3. Seven aspects have been reduced to two independent factors and the most dominant factor is Deliberation Factor (DF) followed by Design Factor (DEF) in their order ofdominance.
- 4. E-Wallet users started using e-wallets before demonetization have higher usage perception compared to e- wallet users afterdemonetization.
- 5. E-Wallet usage perception among users started using e-wallets before and after demonetization period have significant difference with respect to total e-wallet user perception. Perceptions towards convenience aspect and privacy aspect have significant difference with respect to before and after demonetizationusers.

#### SUGGESTIONS

- 1. E-Wallet applications should possess enhanced privacy, security, convenience, speed, accessibility, content and appropriate design to enhance the usagecustomers.
- E-wallet users before demonetization have higher perception compared to new users. So, the application developers should educate the importance and benefits of using ewallets to new customers to enhance and enrich their usage to reduce physical form of cashtransactions.
- 3. E-wallet users opine that usage has two different dimensions such as, deliberation and design. Deliberation aspects such as, privacy, speed, convenience, accessibility should be given more importance to enhance usage whereas, improved design which helps them to choose the application from availablealternatives.

#### CONCLUSION

To conclude, increase in use of technological products in an industry gives a new outlook and helps the industry to work in a better and efficient way. Likewise, in the banking industry, the transactions of money including, DTH recharge, Mobile Recharge, Payment to shopkeepers, etc. have change from physical form of cash to digital payment in order to pave the way for cashless economy. As e-wallets work in a paperless environment, thus saves time and is found to be convenient to use by the customer through their mobile phones at any point of time as form of digital application-wallets are really a catalyst towards expedition of cash to cashless economy especially, in the aftermath of demonetization.

## REFERENCES

- Akbari P (2012), A Study on Factors Affecting Operational Electronic Banking Risksin Iran Banking Industry (Case Study: Kermanshah Melli Bank), International Journal of Management of Business Research Vol. 2(2), pp. 123-135,
- [2] Gurusamy S (2017), Banking Theory Law & Practice, 4th Edition, Vijay Nicole Imprints PrivateLimited.
- [3] Korzeniowski, Paul (2014) "Mobile Wallet Building Blocks Slowly Take Shape", The Journal of Language, Technology & Entrepreneurship in Africa, vol. 2, no. 1, ISSN:1529-8728, pp.182-203.
- [4] Kulkarni (2013) "Customer Satisfaction on E-Banking, Comparative Study on Private and Public Sector Banks", vol. 43, No. 1, ISSN-0972-6918, pp. 111-126.18.
- <sup>[5]</sup> Chen, (2008) A Model of Consumer Acceptance of Mobile Payment, International Journal of Mobile Communications, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 32-52.19.
- [6] Michael (2012) "Factors Affecting the Intendancy of E-Banking- An Integration of TAM and TPB with E- Service Quality" ISSN- 1819-544X, pp. 67-72.20.
- [7] Mallat and V.K. Tuunainen, (2008) "Exploring Merchant Adoption of Mobile Payment Systems: An Empirical Study", e- service Journal, vol. 6,no. 2, pp.24-57.
- [8] Pavlou, T. Lie, and A. Dimoka, (2007) "An Integrative Model of Mobile Commerce Adoption", Information Systems and Technology, Seattle, WA, pp. 1-19.
- [9] Peter Jones (2013) "Wallet- The New Battle Ground for Cardholder Relationships", ISSN: 2248-9622, pp.258-263.
- [10] Philiplays (2012) "Impact of e-quality and Service Recovery on Loyalty: A Study of e-banking in Spain", ISSN: 1478-3363, pp. 94- 98.
- [11] Rajesh Krishna Balan, Narayan Ramasubbu, Giri Kumar Tayi, (2006), "Digital Wallet: Requirements and Challenges", BVIMSR's Journal of Management Research, Vol. 8 Issue 2, pp.98-99.