

**Conflicts of forest workers against accidental injuries and health issues:
An integrated review of experimental data**

Mr. Bhushan Bhimrao Tembhurne
(Lecturer)
Adarsh High School and Art's Jr. College,
Dabha (J.), Bhandara, MS India.
Email: pbtt1023@gmail.com

Miss. Priya Dilip Karhade
(Research scholar)
Department of Zoology,
RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur, MS India.
Email: priyakarhade27@gmail.com

Abstract :

The forestry zone is considered in the handgrips of globalization, also it tends to attempt to make sustainable development of forestry. Throughout the world approximately 13.7 million people are formally employed in the forest sector, and millions more are informally employed, specifically in the developing countries (ILO, undated). Forest workers are indispensable part of the forestry zone. Their well-being as well as health can indeed shows impact on their quality and efficiency of work. To increase the knowledge about the safety of forest workers the main goal of this paper is to cognizance on the review deals with analyzing of crucial issues related to their health occurred within different forestry. This review briefly discusses forestry accidents, illnesses and various diseases faced by forest workers which ultimately approaches for improving workers safety and health. In this study an attempt is made to evaluate the various health challenges as well as issues faced by the forest workers in different forest sectors throughout the world.

Keywords : Forest workers, Accidents, Health, Diseases, Forest.

Introduction :

Forestry work specifically keep on being among the three most hazardous occupations in practically all nations. It is mainly featured as a heavy, massive, dirty and very dangerous work which requires unnatural, incredible, uncomfortable working postures, also it routinely exposed to noise and vibration. In the all fields of manufacture, forestry profession is belongs to the most dangerous job (Poschen, 1993). Forest based employment is one key material profit from forestry to the society. Strehlke (2003) gave an intriguing introduction of the forestry workers issues far and wide. For the workers working in forestry sectors, this work is commonly characterized by consolidation of environmental and personal danger to the safety as well as health. Forestry work is for the most part described by a combinations of normal and material dangers to the wellbeing and security of forest workers. The normal dangers are related with steep and broken landscape, thick harvests and unfriendly working conditions, including boundaries of atmosphere – both hot and cold.

Forest workers execute a various tasks in an effort to preserve, guard, maintain and reforest the naturally grown trees and flora of the National Forest Service lands. According to the FAO 2010, it is evaluated that in all subsectors of forestry, around 10 million individuals are employed around the world. Regardless of innovative advances, forestry work keeps on being one of the most hazardous activities, specifically when the workers don't have sufficient training (Klun and Medved, 2007). The job of the forest workers is an exceptionally troublesome one, frequently identified with diseases and wounds (Poschen, 1997; Axelsson, 1998). Despite of this they frequently bear unfavorable living conditions, with poor lodging, foundation, and cleanliness contrasted with the individuals living in urban communities (Gandaseca and Yoshimura, 2001).

In spite of actual accidents and medical issues brought about by forestry works. Huge numbers of these passing's are because of absence of information about wellbeing and security suggestions. Revealing, recording, notice, and examination of occupational accidents and sicknesses ought to be attempted to distinguish significant security and medical issues emerging from forestry work, to create powerful strategies for managing occupational accidents and illnesses. Be that as it may, there is little data accessible with respect to security on the forestry workers accidents rates and health hazardous effects. So as to quicken the information on forest workers this report sums up the data contained in the forestry accident and medical problems looked by forest laborers.

Accidental data on forest worker's :

Forestry work is viewed as high-risk, with the part having mostly lethal and significant injury rates. Occupation trouble speaks to a significant purpose behind stopping work in the forestry (Jacob *et al.*, 1994). Forest workers are commonly presented to high-risk circumstances while working in the forest. In all nations where near measurements are accessible, forestry work has a higher accidental recurrence rate than most others industrial sectors (Poschen, 1993; Staal, 2001). It was expressed that 56.6% of the forest workers experienced in any event one occupational accident during their work lives and the most well-known kinds of mishaps were being hit by a cleaved tree (81.1%), falling and slipping (69.6%) and being hit by timber, wood or another article (64.5%) (Enez, 2008). Other likewise showed that wood extraction and also tree felling cause a large number of accidents than stacking or transportation (Evanson *et al.*, 2001; Parker *et al.*, 2002). As reported by Peters (1991), the significant reasons for lethal accidents in the felling movement within forestry were hang up fell (26%), butt bounce back (11%), poor felling procedure (15%), working excessively close (11%), catch felling (8%), broken appendages or tops (11%), and being struck from behind while felling trees (5%). Axelsson in 1998, found that deadly accidents of full day workers went down in Sweden somewhere in the range of 1970 and 1995. The accidental rates of machine administrators by 70% and those of chainsaw workers by 48%. Be that as it may, these insights were altered by an expansion of lethal accidents among self-employees. (Neely and Wilhelmson, 2006). Despite this, in the Greek forestry tasks high accidental rates and expanded accidental severity have been reported by Efthymiou (1990).

Most accidents happen in wood reaping and are portrayed by serious severity, bringing about loss of large amount of beneficial work, other than; human, social and monetary results of this huge misfortune (Efthymiou, 1990). Automated errands incite higher worry, just as redundant developments and different perspectives that have an effect on lower profitability and fulfillment, causing higher weariness and expanding the danger of certain forestry accidents (Nieuwenhuis and Lyons, 2002; Tobisch *et al.*, 2005). Despite this physical fitness of worker and workers personality have incredible effect on accepted hazard and accidental rates in the forest (Salminen *et al.*, 1999), incautious and extrovert individuals face higher challenges contrasted with contemplative people; concerning the quantity of accidents, there are just noteworthy contrasts among hypochondriac individuals. As stated by Wilhelmson *et al.*, (2005) the reality of having endured an accidents at work makes the individual accuse himself, changing this inclination into stress and subsequently expanding the hazards.

Health problems of forest workers :

Forestry work is additionally plagued by genuine medical issues identified with high physical remaining tasks at hand, exposure to various climatic boundaries, vibrations and commotion. All things considered, an investigation made in New Zealand inspected the lethal occupational injuries that mostly occurred between the years 1985 and 1994, and had declared that forestry works took

one of the principal puts in the event of lethal occupational injuries (Feyer *et al.*, 2001). As stated by International Labor Office 1998, among the forestry workers there were very high frequency of occupational diseases.

Various data put forth by researchers in order to pointing the hazardous health effects inside forestry. Hausen (1981) additionally brought up that even at very low concentrations, long term exposure of chemical components inside wood can have serious biological impacts on human health. Exposure to wood dust on workers results in potential health effects including changes in pulmonary functions, unfavorably susceptible respiratory reactions such as allergic reactions like asthma. Despite this it also results in cancer of paranasal sinuses and nasal cavity. Furthermore, the aggravation impacts of wood dust are very much recorded (Senear, 1933; Woods and Calnan, 1976; ILO, 1983; Innocenti, 2008). Neitzel and Yost, (2001) found out that carpentry instruments may exposure wood workers to vibration that could bring about hand-arm vibration as well as vibrational induced "white finger" syndrome.

The available data reported by European Agency for Safety and Health at Work (2007), stated that while working in the forestry sectors contaminations and maladies transmitted by animals are a noteworthy natural peril. The most widely recognized transporters (vectors) in Europe are ticks, conveying the microscopic organisms like bacteria that causes Lyme disease (borreliosis) as well as flavivirus that can prompt encephalitis. Secondly, while studying carcinogenicity among the forest workers the most difficult issue arising from wood dust exposure results in danger of creating disease, fundamentally nose and sinus adenoma cancer (Pisati *et al.*, 1982; Kubel and Weiflmann 1988; Klein *et al.*, 2001). Nasal, respiratory and eye manifestations are the most widely recognized impacts revealed by woodworkers (Holness *et al.*, 1985; Li *et al.*, 1990; Shamssain, 1992). Within forestry small rodents can transmit Leptospirosis called as Weil's disease, much of the time from contact with contaminated water or soil with *Leptospira* results in backhanded transmission of the disease.

Experimental studies carried out on the health of forest workers and it was found out that rheumatism pains as well as back agonies took the first spot in quite a while, weariness, weakness and fatigue were much of the time seen. Among them white finger ailment, a few neurological infections and teeth issue were found in high rates. (Acar and Senturk, 1999). As the research put forth by Sezgin(2011), 70.1% of the forest workers had encountered in any event one physical illness after they began started work in the forestry. Most generally experienced afflictions were back and lumbar pain (88.7%), feeling deadness in legs and arms (40.3%) while 12.9% forest workers feeling cold in the feet. As indicated by past ergonomic research done in Indonesia (Gandaseca *et al.*, 1998), it was demonstrated that portion of the work postures employed in forestry work results into compression forces at the lumbosacral disc (L5/S 1), were here and there over the action limit set by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

Despite, as reported in Finland during 1982, because of issues of the musculoskeletal system about 34% of inability annuities of forest workers conceded (Riihimaki, 1986). Secondly, it ought to be noticed that enormous spinal-plate compression pressure can be formed by strong muscular exertion, particularly while lifting, and the subsequent qualities, whenever rehashed, are accepted to build the danger of degeneration of spinal disc. It also results into danger of chronic low back side (Chaffin and Anderson, 1991). Additionally, in India, during 1956 researchers firstly reported kayasanur forest disease within forest workers (Kasabi *et al.*, 2013). Salvendy, 1997 reported that in forestry workers face the accelerating problems of torment in the shoulder area, neck pain and low back injury. While elaborating health issues of forestry workers it came to know that conveying substantial loads over broadened timeframes, for example, during the collecting or shipping of

timber may expand the danger of myelodysplastic syndrome (MSDs) in the forest workers, especially if the pace of work is excessively high or the laborers have not been prepared in good working practices (Chapman and Meyers, 2001).

Conclusion :

In the above reviewed paper it show to convey that the working component of forestry service exercises and which causes accidents as well as ailments need to be regularized. The main intension of accident reviewing and analysis are to improve the workers live and to avoid occupational accidents and health hazardous. The inspirations driving unplanned itemizing and examination are to improve the lives of forest workers and to avoid accidental disasters and different health problems. Secondly, the objective of the review is to provide essential knowledge about various accidents and medical issues faced by forestry workers to support the organizations wanting to improve the safeness and health of forestry workers.

Unfortunate convenience conditions, deficiency in work organization and training, insufficient security implementation, unfavorable working conditions should be improved and the guidelines expected for the arrangement of the issues should be done by the legislature as the greatest boss in this field. In the event that these conditions are improved and the issues are fathomed, the forestry workers will be less frequently exposed to the accidents and health problems and hence quality of their life and execution adequacy will be upgraded.

References :

1. Acar H., Senturk N. (1999). Research on Occupational Health Workers in Artvin Forest Region. *J. Istanbul Univ. For. Fac.*, Vol. 49(1): pp.25-38. doi:
2. Axelsson S. A., (1998). The mechanisation of logging in Sweden and its effect on occupational safety and health. *J Forest Eng.*, Vol. 9 (2): pp.25-31.
3. Chaffin D. B., Anderson G.B. (1991). Occupational biomechanics. 2nd edition. 518pp, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
4. Chapman L., Meyers J.(2001).Ergonomics and Musculoskeletal Injuries in Agriculture: Recognizing and Preventing the Industry's Most Widespread Health and Safety Problem. doi:
5. Efthymiou P. N. (1990). Situation of occupational safety in forest operations of Greece. In: Proceedings of the "European seminar on occupational safety in forestry", Munich (Germany).
6. Enez K. (2008). Evaluation of Anthropometric Data and Accident Risk Factors in Working Postures at Forestry Production Labour (Ormancılıkta Üretim İşçiliğinde Antropometrik Verilerin ve Çalışma Duruşlarının Kaza Risk). Karadeniz Technical University, Institute of Science, Doctoral diss, Trabzon.
7. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work Expert forecast on emerging biological risks related to occupational safety and health (2007). ISBN 92-9191-130-5.
8. Evanson T., Parker R., Ashby L., Bentley T. (2001). Analysis of Lost Time Injuries. Accident Reporting Scheme Statistics. Rotorua, Centre for Human Factors and Ergonomics: 6.
9. FAO (2010). Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010—Key findings.
10. Feyer A. M., Langley J., Howard M., Horsburgh S., Wright C., Alsop J., Cryer C. (2001).The Work-Related Fatal Injury Study: numbers, rates and trends of work-related fatal injuries in New Zealand 1985-1994. *New Zealand Med. J.*, Vol. 114(1124): pp.6-10.
11. Gandaseca S., Yoshimura T. (2001). Occupational safety, health, and living conditions of forestry workers in Indonesia. *J For Res*, Vol.6: pp.281–286.
12. Gandaseca S., Yoshimura T., Yamamoto T., Mulyono S. (1998). A biomechanical analysis of industrial forest plantation workers in East Kalimantan. *J. For. Res.*, Vol.3: pp.75-79.

13. Hausen B. M. (1981). Wood injurious to human health: a manual. Walter de Gruyter ed., Berlin, Germany, pp. 189.
14. Holness D. L., Sass-Kortsak A. M., Pilger C. W., Nethercott J. R. (1985). Respiratory function and exposure- effect relationships in wood dust-exposed and control workers. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, Vol.7: pp.501-506.
15. ILO (1983). Woods. Encyclopaedia of Occupational Health and Safety. International Labour Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, pp. 2308- 2316.
16. ILO. Undated. *Forestry, wood, pulp and paper sector* [online]. International Labour Organization.
17. Innocenti A. (2008). Effetti sulla salute delle polveri di legno: la funzione respiratoria [Health effects of wood dust: the respiratory function]. In: Proceedings of the Meeting “Polveri di legno: salute e sicurezza” [Wood dust: health and safety] (CIMAL ed). Milan (Italy) pp. 27-35.
18. International Labour Office (1998). Safety and health in forestry work: ILO code of practice. International Labour Office, Geneva.
19. Jacob J., Huber M., Wirz R., Harle P. R., Lewark S. (1994). Warum wechseln Waldarbeiter ihren Beruf? *Allg Forst Jagdtg* Vol. 165: pp.1–6.
20. Kasabi G. S., Murhekar M.V., Yadav P. D., Raghunandan R., Kiran S.K., Sandhya V. K., Channabasappa G. H., Mishra A. C., Mourya D.T., Mehendale S. M. (2013). Kayasanur forest disease India. *Emerging infectious diseases*, Vol. 19(2): pp.278.
21. Klein R. G., Schmezer P., Amelung F., Schroeder H. G., Woeste W., Wolf J. (2001). Carcinogenicity assays of wood dust and wood additives in rats exposed by long-term inhalation. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, Vol. 2: pp.109-118.
22. Klun J., Medved M. (2007). Fatal accidents in forestry in some European countries. *Croatian Journal of Forest Engineering*, Vol. 28(1): pp.55-62.
23. Kubel H., Weiflmann G. (1988). Untersuchungen zur Cancerogenit it von Holzstaub [Studies on the Carcinogenicity of wood dust]. *Holz als Roh und Werkstoff*, Vol. 46: pp.215-20.
24. Li D., Yuan L., Yi S., Jiang Z. (1990). Effects of wood dust exposure on respiratory health: Cross-sectional study among farmers exposed to wood dust. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, Vol. 1: pp.84-85.
25. Neely G., Wilhelmson E. (2006). Self-reported incidents, accidents, and use of protective gear among small-scale forestry workers in Sweden. *Safety Science*, Vol.44: pp.723-732.
26. Neitzel R., Yost M. (2001). Tasked-Based Assessment of Occupational Vibration and Noise Exposures in Forestry Workers. *AIHA Journal*, Vol. 63(5): pp.617-27.
27. Nieuwenhuis M., Lyons M. (2002). Health and Safety Issues and Perception of Forest Harvesting Contractors in Ireland. *Int J For Eng.*, Vol. 13(2): pp.69-76.
28. Parker R., Bentley T., Ashby A. (2002): Forestry applications of human factors research. In: O'Brien T.G., Charlton S.G. (eds): *Handbook of Human Factors Testing and Evaluation*. Mahwah, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.: pp.319–340.
29. Peters P. (1991). Chainsaw Felling Fatal Accidents. *Transactions of the ASAE*, Vol.6: pp.2600-2608.
30. Pisati G., Cirila A. M., Zedda S. (1982). Asma allergologico da esposizione professionale a polveri dilegno non esotico (Faggio). Considerazioni di un caso clinico [Asthma allergy from occupational exposure to non- exotic wood dust (beech). Considerations of a clinical case]. In: Proceedings of the Conference “Infortuni e malattie professionali nel settore del legno edel mobile” [Occupational accidents and diseases in the wood industry and furniture industry]. OECE, Siena, (Italy), pp. 472-478.
31. Poschen P. (1993). Forestry, a safe and healthy profession? *Unasylva* 172: pp.3-12.
32. Poschen P. (1997). Forestry and employment—much more than meets the eye. In: Proceedings of the Eleventh World Forestry Congress, Antalya, Turkey.

33. Riihimaki H. (1986). Disability and rehabilitation of Finnish forest workers. In: Proceedings of the Seminar on Occupational Health and Rehabilitation of Forest Workers, Kuopio, Finland. ECE/FAO/ILO Joint Committee, Geneva, pp. 226–274.
34. Salminen S., Klen T., Ojanen K. (1999). Risk taking and accident frequency among Finnish forestry workers. *Safety Science*, Vol.33: pp.143-153.
35. Salvendy G. (ed.) (1997). Handbook of human factors and ergonomics. 2137pp, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
36. Senear F. E. (1933). Dermatitis due to woods. *Journal of American Medicine Association*, Vol. 101: pp.1527-1532.
37. Sezgin O., Ilknur N., Ceyhun G., Semih E., Huseyin Y. (2011). Health problems and conditions of the forestry workers in Turkey. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 6(27): pp. 5884-5890.
38. Shamssain M. H. (1992). Pulmonary function and symptoms in workers exposed to wood dust. *Thorax*, Vol.2: pp.84-87.
39. Staal D. S. (2001). Heat stress in forestry work. Doctoral diss. Dept. of Silviculture, SLU. Acta Universitatis agriculturae Sueciae, Silvestria Vol. 213.
40. Strehlke B. (2003). How we work and live: forest workers talk about themselves; A global account of working and living conditions in the forestry sector. ILO, Geneva.
41. Tobisch R., Walker M., Weise G. (2005). Scientific review of forest machine technical ergonomics, in Lewark S (Ed.): Scientific reviews of ergonomic situation in mechanized forest operations. Inst. for skogens produkter och marknader, Sveriges Lantbruksuniversitet.
42. Wilhelmson E., StaalWasterlund D., Burstrom L., Bylund P. O. (2005). Public health effects of accidents in selfemployed forestry work. *Small-Scale Forestry* Vol. 4(4): pp.427- 436.
43. Woods B., Calnan C. D. (1976). Toxic woods. *British Journal of Dermatology*, Vol. 95: pp.1-97.